UK Data Service



# **Answers: Data documentation**

The table describes which information could be found and where it was found.

The assessed datasets are:

- 1. Malawi Household surveys for agricultural biodiversity assessment
- 2. Manufacturing growth and the lives of Bangladeshi women
- 3. All Ireland Traveller Health Study (AITHS)

|   | Did you find the information, and where?   |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Key information needed for reuse of data (examples)   | 1 Malawi HH survey   | 2 Manufacturing<br>Bangladesh   | 3 AITHS   |
| Example: Number of<br>respondents   | 340; found in the dataset<br><u>description</u>  | 1395 households; found<br>in the ReadMe file (part<br>of the data zip bundle) | 8492; found in the AITHS<br>Technical Report 1  |
| Geographical area where the data were collected   | Malawi, Ntcheu District ,<br>areas Manjawira, Nsipe,<br>Sharpevale and<br>Tsangano; found in<br>dataset descriptor | Bangladesh  | Eire and Northern Ireland   |
| Is there sampling bias or is the sample random?   | Random sample  | Random sample, then<br>stratified   | Sampling bias; found in<br>AITHS Technical Report 1   |
| Is there is a control group ?   | No   | Yes, 16 control villages  | No  |
| Were data collected directly in<br>digital format or on paper and<br>then submitted/transcribed into<br>a database; if so was double<br>entry or peer checking done to<br>avoid errors? | Unknown  | Unknown   | Data collected via paper<br>questionnaire, checked<br>and transcribed to a<br>spreadsheet, and if<br>required followed up<br>with the study<br>coordinators for<br>Clarification; found in<br>AITHS SUMMARY |
| Which questions exactly were<br>asked in the survey or<br>interview (or which protocols<br>used for measurements)   | Questionnaire is available as documentation  | Unknown   | Questionnaire is available<br>as documentation  |
| Can you find the hypothesis or<br>aims of the research that<br>generated this dataset?  | No   | Yes, in dataset abstract<br>and in published paper                            | Yes in dataset descriptor   |

Cite as: Veerle Van den Eynden, Scott Summers and Stuart MacDonald (2018) Exercise: Data documentation. UK Data Service, UK

| How was consent gathered?   | Unknown                                     | Unknown  | As part of the<br>questionnaire form                                       |
|---|---|--|--|
| Can the data be used for<br>commercial purposes?  | Not clear, seemingly CC0<br>licence, so yes | No, CC-BY-NC licence;<br>found in data descriptor          | No, research and learning<br>purposes only; found in<br>dataset descriptor |
| What access conditions apply to the data?   | Open access                                 | Open access  | Data available upon<br>request   |
| Can you find a publication that<br>describes the findings of this<br>dataset?   | No  | Yes, direct link from the<br>dataset record in<br>Mendeley | Various reports included in<br>documentation files                         |
| ls it clear which respondents<br>or interviewees are female?  | Yes, this can be seen in<br>the data files  | Yes, this can be seen in the data files                    | Yes; found in AITHS<br>SUMMARY   |
| If there are missing data in the<br>datafile, are they missing<br>because the respondent did<br>not respond or because the<br>question was not asked to this<br>respondent? (or missing<br>because a measurement was<br>not done or not relevant) | Unknown; missing data<br>are blank          | Unknown; proprietary<br>data, so cannot check              | Yes, missing data<br>information is available in<br>the data dictionaries  |
| Does the file format and structure of the data facilitate easy reuse?   | Excel format                                | Stata format, not<br>normalised                            | SPSS format, not<br>normalised   |
| Are related datasets that use<br>the same research protocol<br>comparable to facilitate cross-<br>analysis, e.g. same variable<br>names, same coding structure,<br>etc.   | Yes   | N/A  | Yes  |

# **Further discussion**

These 3 dataset examples represent datasets that may be shared for different reasons: because a research institution wants to make their data available for further reuse (example 1), because a journal expects data to be available so research findings can be replicated (example 2), because a data repository wants to make valuable data resources available to the research community (example 3), because a research funder expects data sharing, etc. The examples show that the level of documentation for datasets can vary highly. Either way, once a dataset is available in a data repository or published in another form, it is important that sufficient documentation is available so the data can be reused by researchers that may find the dataset. It is also important that this documentation is openly available so users can judge whether the data files are worth accessing / downloading for their use, especially if the dataset is not openly accessible.



*Cite as: Veerle Van den Eynden, Scott Summers and Stuart MacDonald (2018) Exercise: Data documentation. UK Data Service, UK* 

Whilst researchers usually do not have the time and expertise to provide documentation to the level of a professional data archive, it is important to provide sufficient documentation in an open format so interested users can judge the value of the data and its potential for reuse.

## 1. Malawi Household surveys for agricultural biodiversity assessment

This dataset has a good concise data descriptor (metadata record) and the research protocol and questionnaire form are available as extra documentation. Variable codes are explained in the data table.

### 2. Manufacturing growth and the lives of Bangladeshi women

This dataset is described well in the published paper it supports (summary methodology and variable descriptions are detailed). The documentation that is available in the data repository is very minimal. A user who finds the dataset needs to consult the paper to be able to understand the data. A clear ReadMe file provides information about the structure and content of each data file.

### 3. All Ireland Traveller Health Study (AITHS)

This dataset is extensively documented through technical reports, a readme file, data dictionaries, questionnaires and details about anonymisation and content gathering (14 documentation files are available), created by the survey agency and the data archive.

