Love Your Code

14 February 2020

Discussion Groups with tea: Reproducibility for UK national statistics



Breakout group 1: Quality review and certification for reproducibility: good code, bad method?

Facilitators Louise Corti and Lars Vilhuber

- 1. Who should be responsible for the quality of published statistical outputs?
- 2. Is running analytic code enough? What else could be checked?
- 3. Would you be happy to be 'reproduced' if yes, why; if not, why not?

Breakout Group 2: Automating processes for reproducibility: benefits and issues around code tracking tools

Facilitators: Simon Parker, Cancer Research UK and Fiona Grimm, The Health Foundation

- 1. What are the barriers to using code tracking software e.g. R Markdown, Jupyter Notebooks or Gitlab?
- 2. How might we roll our training in this area?
- What about workflow or technical issues? Are there barriers to adopting these in data analysis environments/ Secure labs

Breakout 3: Assessing disclosure risk in code: rules, tools and skills

Facilitators: Chris Woods and Valerija Kolbas, UK Data Service

- What are the challenges of assessing disclosure risk in code
- 2. What knowledge and/or skills are required for assessing code?
- 3. What tools are available for assessing risk in code?