

Protecting and improving the nation's health

Onset of limiting illness and recovery from limiting illness; characteristics of illness dynamics from the Annual Population Survey: Jan. to Dec. 2017

24 November 2020; Labour Force and Annual Population Surveys User Conference

Tom Hennell, Matt Hennessy, James Parry: PHE North West; Local Knowledge and Intelligence: Thomas.hennell@phe.gov.uk

Our underlying analytical approach

- The total burden of disease in the English population 18-69 may be simplified as the sum total of individual episodes of illness in that population, captured in the APS and grossed up to the national scale; such that each episode has an incidence, a duration, a risk of recurrence, and a degree of burden.
- Further simplified as; **Prevalence = incidence * duration * recurrence**
- We are seeking to characterise and quantify the tasks to be faced in two discrete agendas for public health; prevention (Getting ill less), and recovery (Getting ill better).
 - Reducing **incidence** comprises the 'prevention' agenda
 - Reducing **duration** and **recurrence** together comprise the 'recovery' agenda

Previous work on this subject

M Bartley, A Sacker, P Clarke. "Employment status, employment conditions, and limiting illness: prospective evidence from the British household panel survey 1991–2001"; 2004, JECH

Department for Work & Pensions; Department of Health: "Work, Health and Disability Green Paper Data Pack" Technical Annex; 2016

Melanie K. Jones, Rhys Davies, Stephen Drinkwater. "The Dynamics of Disability and Work in Britain"; 2018, The Manchester School, vol 86:3.

Years lived with disability; and years of life lost



Years lived with disability; and years of life lost



Assumptions:

Premature death commonly associated with prior limiting illness

Extended period of limiting illness before premature death

Shorter episodes of onset and recovery from limiting illness in earlier life

Limiting illness episodes demonstrating deteriorating condition over time – up to point of recovery or death

Global Burden of Disease; compartmental model



Global Burden of Disease; causal web



Presuppositions of dynamics of onset and recovery from chronic limiting illness

- **Presupposition of Sequence**; that the determinants of chronic illness can be distinguished into 'upstream' and 'downstream' characteristics; and that 'upstream' factors are more amenable to public health, and vary more widely; while 'downstream' factors are more likely amenable to treatment access and delivery, and vary less.
- **Presupposition of Symmetry**; that the dynamics and characteristics by which persons recover from chronic limiting illness will typically reverse those by which they became ill hence returning them to 'the way they were'.
- **Presupposition of Causation**; that the 'wider determinants of health' may act with causal effect on the differential likelihood of onset of chronic illness; but are not likely to act separately with causal effect to promote or accelerate recovery from chronic illness.

Sankey diagram of 12 month onset and recovery flows: England 18-69, 2017 to 2018; from 'Understanding Society' waves 8 and 9







Persons aged 16-74 observed in all nine waves of 'Understanding Society' Prevalence of years illness and death by region 2010 - 2018







Comparison of characteristics for those reporting 'recovery' from illness in APS, compared with those reporting no current or past illness

Percentage of population	aged 18 to 64		aged 65 to 74	aged 65 to 74	
	reporting no	reporting	reporting no	reporting	
Economic status	illness	recovery	illness	recovery	
in employment	80%	78%	19%	17%	
unemployed	3%	4%	0%	1%	
full time student	7%	2%	0%	0%	
looking after home and family	5%	6%	1%	1%	
long term sick or disabled	0%	1%	1%	1%	
retired	2%	6%	76%	79%	
other inactive	3%	4%	2%	2%	
Life Satisfaction scores					
not at all satisfied	0%	0%	0%	0%	
1	0%	0%	0%	0%	
2	0%	1%	0%	0%	
3	1%	1%	1%	0%	
4	1%	1%	1%	1%	
5	4%	6%	5%	5%	
6	6%	8%	4%	4%	
7	20%	21%	12%	12%	
8	36%	32%	34%	34%	
9	17%	15%	20%	20%	
completely satisfied	14%	14%	21%	23%	

Parsimonious models of illness onset

- Male (Nagelkere rsq= 0.069) Female (Nagelkerke rsq = 0.050)
- 1st stage: Age, Region of Residence, NS-SEC social status
- 2nd stage male; included stepwise
 Working status
 Smoking status
 Housing tenure
 Working status
 Housing tenure
 Smoking tenure
 Smoking tenure
 Smoking status
 Marital status
 Country of birth
 Country of birth

Parsimonious models of recovery

- Male (Nagelkere rsq= 0.126) Female (Nagelkerke rsq = 0.092)
- 1st stage: Age, Region of Residence, NS-SEC social status
- 2nd stage male; included stepwise
 Working status
 Educational attainment
 Housing tenure
 Time living at current address
 Country of birth

2nd stage female; included stepwise Working status Housing tenure Time living at current address Educational attainment Country of birth Ethnicity

Fully adjusted models of onset and recovery

- Male: onset (Nagelkere rsq= 0.076); recovery (Nagelkere rsq= 0.128)
- Female: onset (Nagelkerke rsq = 0.053); recovery (Nagelkere rsq= 0.093)

Region of residence

Age

Socio-economic (NS-SEC)

Time living at current address

Country of birth

Smoking status

Working status Housing tenure Sector of Employment (public/private) Educational attainment Marital Status Ethnicity

Odds ratios for Region of residence; male fully adjusted models of onset and recovery; England 2017 ages 18 – 69.



Odds ratios for Working Status; male fully adjusted models of onset and recovery; England 2017 ages 18 – 69.



Odds ratios for Smoking Status; male fully adjusted models of onset and recovery; England 2017 ages 18 – 69.



Odds ratios for Educational attainment; male fully adjusted models of onset and recovery; England 2017 ages 18 – 69.



Preliminary conclusions

- From the **Presupposition of Sequence**; we might have expected to find differential risk of illness prevalence (especially at the regional level) to be more strongly associated with differential likelihood of 'onset' than of 'recovery'. **We found the opposite**; systematic variation was more evident 'downstream' than 'upstream'.
- From the Presupposition of Symmetry; we might have expected to find that the characteristics and dynamics associated with 'recovery' might have been the obverse of those associated with 'onset'. We found the opposite. Our parsimonious models of onset and recovery included different components (e.g. smoking for onset; education for recovery), while those characteristics that were included in both sets of parsimonious models (e.g. working status) did not generally do so symmetrically.
- From the Presupposition of Causation; we might have expected to find indications of causal association of the 'wider determinants of health' to be stronger in respect of illness 'onset, than in respect of illness recovery. Our findings suggest the opposite; that clinical risk factors (e.g. smoking) were more likely to be associated with differential 'onset, while wider determinants (e.g. education) were more likely to be associated with 'recovery'.

We are now seeking to explore these preliminary findings further, using the 'Understanding Society' longitudinal study



Next steps; and a plea for help

This analysis of the Annual Population Survey has served as a pilot exercise. We intend to take this work further using the 'Understanding Society' longitudinal panel of the UKHLS, applying Cox proportional hazard models; and have extracted a set of 16,068 individuals aged 16-74 in the first wave, for whom we have full reports of illness and recovery over all nine waves.

We would very much like to hear:

- From anyone who has used the APS health questions to explore the dynamics of illness onset and recovery is there anything seriously problematic in our approach?
- From anyone with recent experience in exploring the dynamics of illness onset and recovery in longitudinal panel data;
- From anyone who would be able to support our current work as 'an extra pair of eyes' especially in respect of applying proportional hazard models to person/year observations, where time series is indicated by annual stage reporting.

We would welcome any feedback; please contact Tom Hennell at Thomas.hennell@phe.gov.uk