

Documenting consent for personal data



29/07/25

Copyright © 2025 University of Essex. Created by UK Data Archive, UK Data Service.

Version No 02.00



Table of contents

Methods used to obtain consent	3
Record keeping when processing personal data	3
When consent becomes invalid	3

Methods used to obtain consent

There are several methods available for obtaining consent, including:

- Signing a consent statement on a paper form.
- Ticking an opt-in box on paper or electronically.
- Clicking an opt-in button or link online.
- Selecting from equally prominent yes/no options.
- Choosing technical settings or preference dashboard settings.
- Responding to an email requesting consent.
- Answering yes to a clear oral consent request.

When it comes to participating in research and collecting personal data, a clear affirmative statement through consent **must** be included. This should include a statement indicating risks, benefits, voluntary participation, right of withdrawal and how data (anonymised or not) will be shared).

Record keeping when processing personal data

It is essential that researchers are able to demonstrate that data subjects have consented for their personal data to be processed, so records must be kept and used as evidence or for reviewing, if and when required.

Good record keeping should include the following:

- Who consented: name or other identifier.
- When they consented: dated document for written or oral consent and a time stamp for online records.
- What they were told at the time: for written consent, a copy of the consent form used to demonstrate the consent statement and for an oral consent a document with the script that was used at the time of data collection.
- How they consented: written, online, oral Have the consent withdrawn: if so, when.

When consent becomes invalid

Best ethical practice indicates that consent becomes invalid:

- If there are doubts over whether someone has consented.
- If a person doesn't realise they have consented.
- There are no clear records to demonstrate participants had consented.
- No genuine free choice over whether to opt in was given.
- There was a clear imbalance of power between a researcher and the individual.
- The consent request was vague or unclear.
- Pre-ticked opt-in boxes or other methods of default consent were used.
- The researcher's organisation was not specifically named.
- Subjects were not informed about their right to withdraw.

- Subjects cannot easily withdraw consent.
- The research purposes or activities have evolved since the original consent.

www.ukdataservice.ac.uk

help@ukdataservice.ac.uk

+44 (0) 1206 872143

We are supported by the Universities of Essex, Manchester, Edinburgh, University College London and Jisc. We are funded by UKRI through the Economic and Social Research Council.